

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES HEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Petint and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bok 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,772	06/20/2003		William G. Pagan	RPS920030087US1	5961	
47052	7590	11/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SAWYER	LAW GI	ROUP LLP	BROWN, MICHAEL J			
PO BOX 51418 PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2116	2116	
				DATE MAILED: 11/16/2009	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,772	PAGAN, WILLIAM G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Brown	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/20/2003 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 25 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 25, line 4 the claim reads "launched during the startup sequence". This claim should read "launched during a startup sequence" as "startup sequence is not previously presented in the independent claim 25. In claim 35, line 1 the claim reads "The computer system of claim 35", this claim should read "The computer system of claim 34". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harding(US Patent 5,794,052).

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 1, Harding discloses a method for controlling a startup sequence(software installation and setup, see column 4, line 11) in a computer system(computer system 300, see Fig. 2) comprising the steps of monitoring at least one aspect of a plurality of startup applications(software programs, see column 4, lines 19-20) launched during the startup sequence(see column 4, lines 35-44) and analyzing the at least one aspect(individual modules of each software program, see column 4, lines 31-33) of the plurality of startup applications to based on at least one criteria(changes, see column 4, line 51), the at least one criteria indicating whether a portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous at startup(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31). Harding also discloses the method of controlling a startup sequence comprising automatically removing from the startup sequence at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications that are extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-33).

As to claim 2, Harding discloses the method wherein the analyzing step further includes the step of analyzing at least one characteristic of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting the at least one undesirable characteristic(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 3, Harding discloses the method wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a particular termination method(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 4, Harding discloses the method wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a time of termination less than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 5, Harding discloses the method wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a crash rate greater than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 6, Harding discloses the method wherein each of the portion of the plurality loads an icon and wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic is use of the icon being below a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 7, Harding discloses the method wherein the analyzing step further includes the step of analyzing at least one behavior of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting at least one aberrant behavior(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 8, Harding discloses the method wherein the analyzing step further includes the step of determining whether hardware utilized by each of the plurality of startup applications is available on the computer system, the portion of the plurality of utilizing unavailable hardware(see column 5, lines 3-5).

As to claim 9, Harding discloses the method wherein the automatically removing step further includes the step of providing a notification that the portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 10, Harding discloses the method wherein the automatically removing step further includes the steps of allowing a user to indicate whether the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed (see column 8, lines 4-6), and automatically removing at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications from the startup sequence only if the user indicates that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed (see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 11, Harding discloses the method wherein the automatically removing step further includes the step of blacklisting the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications so that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is not reentered to the startup sequence without the user's explicit approval (see column 8, lines 11-14).

As to claim 12, Harding discloses the method wherein the automatically removing step further includes the steps of allowing a user to select between automatically removing the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications from the startup sequence and uninstalling the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications(see Fig. 3, step 590; column 14, lines 29-33; and column 8, lines 11-14).

As to claim 13, Harding discloses a computer-readable medium containing a program for controlling a startup sequence(software installation and setup, see column 4, line 11) in a computer system(computer system 300, see Fig. 2), the program including instructions for monitoring at least one aspect of a plurality of startup applications(software programs, see column 4, lines 19-20) launched during the startup

Art Unit: 2116

sequence(see column 4, lines 35-44), and analyzing the at least one aspect(individual modules of each software program, see column 4, lines 31-33) of the plurality of startup applications based on at least one criteria(changes, see column 4, line 51), the at least one criteria indicating whether a portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous at startup(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31). Harding also discloses the computer-readable medium containing a program for controlling a startup sequence automatically removing from the startup sequence at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications that is extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-33).

As to claim 14, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the analyzing instructions further includes instructions for analyzing at least one characteristic of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting the at least one undesirable characteristic(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 15, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a particular termination method(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 16, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a time of termination less than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 17, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a crash rate greater than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 18, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein each of the portion of the plurality loads an icon and wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic is use of the icon being below a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 19, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the analyzing instructions further includes instructions for analyzing at least one behavior of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting at least one aberrant behavior(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 20, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the analyzing step further includes the step of determining whether hardware utilized by each of the plurality of startup applications is available on the computer system, the portion of the plurality of utilizing unavailable hardware(see column 5, lines 3-5).

As to claim 21, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the automatically removing instructions further includes instructions for providing a notification that the portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 22, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the automatically removing step instructions includes instructions for allowing a user to

indicate whether the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed(see column 8, lines 4-6), and automatically removing at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications from the startup sequence only if the user indicates that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 23, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the automatically removing instructions further includes instructions for blacklisting the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications so that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is not reentered to the startup sequence without the user's approval (see column 8, lines 11-14).

As to claim 24, Harding discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the automatically removing instructions further includes instructions for allowing a user to select between automatically removing the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications from the startup sequence and uninstalling the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications(see Fig. 3, step 590; column 14, lines 29-33; and column 8, lines 11-14).

As to claim 25, Harding discloses a computer system(computer system 300, see Fig. 2) comprising a plurality of startup applications(software programs, see column 4, lines 19-20) launched during startup, and a startup application elimination module for monitoring at least one aspect(individual modules of each software program, see column 4, lines 31-33) of the plurality of startup applications launched during the startup sequence(software installation and setup, see column 4, line 11), the startup application

Art Unit: 2116

elimination module also for analyzing the at least one aspect of the plurality of startup applications to based on at least one criteria(changes, see column 4, line 51), the at least one criteria indicating whether a portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous at startup(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31), the startup application elimination module also for automatically removing from the startup sequence at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications that is extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-33).

As to claim 26, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further analyzes at least one characteristic of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting the at least one undesirable characteristic(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 27, Harding discloses the computer system of claim 26 wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a particular termination method(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 28, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a time of termination less than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 29, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the at least one undesirable characteristic includes a crash rate greater than a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 30, Harding discloses the computer system wherein each of the portion of the plurality loads an icon and wherein the at least one undesirable

Art Unit: 2116

characteristic is use of the icon being below a particular threshold(see column 4, lines 51-52 and column 14, lines 27-30).

As to claim 31, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further analyzes at least one behavior of the plurality of startup applications, the portion of the plurality of startup applications exhibiting at least one aberrant behavior(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 32, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further determines whether hardware utilized by each of the plurality of startup applications is available on the computer system, the portion of the plurality of utilizing unavailable hardware(see column 5, lines 3-5).

As to claim 33, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further provides a notification that the portion of the plurality of startup applications is extraneous(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 34, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the computer system further includes at least one input/output device that allows a user to indicate whether the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed(see column 8, lines 4-6), and wherein the startup application elimination module further automatically removes at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications from the startup sequence only if the user indicates that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is desired to be removed(see Fig. 3, step 590 and column 14, lines 29-31).

As to claim 35, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further blacklists the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications so that the at least one of the portion of the plurality of startup applications is not reentered to the startup sequence without the user's approval (see column 8, lines 11-14).

As to claim 36, Harding discloses the computer system wherein the startup application elimination module further allows a user to select between automatically removing the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications from the startup sequence and uninstalling the at least one of the portion of plurality of applications (see Fig. 3, step 590; column 14, lines 29-33; and column 8, lines 11-14).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-5932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00am to 3:30pm(EST).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIRS) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications are available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should

Art Unit: 2116

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Brown

Art Unit 2116

A. ELAMIN